

ASTR 1040: Stars & Galaxies



Whirlpool
Galaxy M51

Prof. Juri Toomre TAs: Daniel Segal, Max Weiner
Lecture 11 Tues 18 Feb 2020
zeus.colorado.edu/astr1040-toomre


Logistics

- Read **Chap 15.1: Properties of Stars** with care, then **15.2: Patterns among Stars**
- **Mid-Term Exam 1** returned, with answers and grade boundaries
- **Homework #4** also returned graded, answers
- **Observatory #3** this Wed 19 Feb, by signup, but possible snow prediction

Topics for Today

- How to **classify other stars**?
- Vital work by **Annie Jump Cannon** in devising a sensible "spectral sequence" for stars
- Why **temperature and spectral lines** are **closely linked** in classifying stars **O B A...M**
- **Cecilia Payne-Gaposchkin** and the "Saha" equation to predict **spectral line strengths**
- Roadmap to the stars: **Hertzsprung-Russell (H-R) diagram**

So did we really love this exam?



RESULTS FROM FIRST MID-TERM EXAM

FIRST MID-TERM EXAM

- **Grade boundaries**, based on 110 points (graded on a "curve"):
 - If 98/110 (89%) **or over**, **A's** [40%]
 - 85/110 (77%) or over, **B's** [43%]
 - 75/110 (68%) or over, **C's** [11%]
- Also +, plain, and – within these ranges

Go through answer sheet – and talk to us if do not understand our choices. Keep exam + answers for future review (comp final)


REMINDER

Devising the strange temperature code

- **Original classification of spectra** (1890) was:
A = strongest hydrogen feature
B = less strong hydrogen ...**C, D**, etc.
- **Annie Jump Cannon** realized that a **different sequence** made more sense (~1910)



→ **OBAFGKM !!**



Spectral Classification: O B A F G K M


Which **ABSORPTION** lines are strongest

Hottest stars: O B
ionized helium only

Hot stars: A F helium, hydrogen


Cooler stars: G
hydrogen, heavier atoms

Coollest stars: M
molecules, (complex absorption bands)



H - R Namesakes

Einar Hertzsprung **Henry Norris Russell**



Most Basic Problem in Astronomy

Star of given **APPARENT BRIGHTNESS** could be either

A. very luminous star far away

B. low luminosity star closer by

Need to know the DISTANCE to the star

Inverse Square Law of Brightness

Apparent
Brightness
 \approx
 $L_o / (\text{distance})^2$

Stellar Luminosity

- What we measure: **APPARENT BRIGHTNESS**
or how bright it appears to us here on Earth
- What we want to know: (absolute) **LUMINOSITY**
or how much energy is emitted (joules/sec or watts)
- Need to know **DISTANCE** to the star

Parallax – to determine distance

- o Measure the apparent movement of stars over a year
- o Movement is caused by Earth's movement around the Sun
- o Closer objects will move more than farther objects

How Stellar Parallax Works

Class self-demo of parallax

- Your **nose** is the Sun
- Your **left eye** is the Earth in January
- Your **right eye** is the Earth in June

Watch the **apparent motion of your thumb** against a distant reference point (repeat at arm's length)

Which **"move" more** -- closer or farther objects?

Stellar Parallax: measuring nearby distances

TRIGONOMETRIC PARALLAX:
GIVES DISTANCE TO NEAR STARS DIRECTLY

BY OBSERVING TARGET STAR FROM DIFFERENT VANTAGE POINTS IN EARTH'S ORBIT \Rightarrow STAR APPEARS TO MOVE IN LOOP IN SKY OVER 1 YEAR (COMPARE TO DISTANT STARS)
1/2 ANGLE OF LOOP = PARALLAX ANGLE p

DISTANCE TO STAR $d = \frac{1}{p}$

IF $p = 1 \text{ ARCSEC } (1'')$, DISTANCE IS 1 PARSEC (PC) (PARALLAX SECOND)

PC = 3.26 LY = 206,265 AU.


LIMITED BY ACCURACY OF STAR POSITIONS

FROM EARTH: 0.01" — 100 PC
SPACE TELESCOPE: 0.001" — 1000 PC (~1 kpc)

LIMITING FACTOR: IS BLURRING, FURTHER DUE TO TURBULENCE OF EARTH'S ATMOSPHERE


Best parallax measurers:
Hipparcos satellite 1989-1993
GAIA satellite Dec 2013 →

- Space measurements not affected by atmosphere
- Measurement made many times until accurate to **-0.001 arcsec** (Hipparcos → 1,600 light years)
- 100,000 stars mapped; 2.5 million lesser accuracy
- GAIA: 10 micro-arcsec, billion stars; 10,000+ ly**



Cecelia figured out WHY stellar spectra are so different: TEMPERATURE

- She showed that **SURFACE TEMPERATURE** is the big factor (not composition)
- She used the newly-devised **SAHA EQUATION**, estimating how many electrons remain attached to atoms as temperature is changed (or the level of ionization)



Cecelia Payne-Gaposchkin
(Harvard PhD thesis 1925)

O B A F G K M → decreasing temperature

Why temperature and spectral lines are linked?

SAHA gives the answer:

can estimate "population of different energy levels" in H, He ...

and **ionization** (continuous crash, bang, relax, do it again!)

STUDY OF STELLAR ATMOSPHERES:
 WHY ARE SPECTRAL LINES AND TEMPERATURE RELATED?

RECALL TEMPERATURE OF GAS IS MEASURE OF AVERAGE KINETIC ENERGY (OR VELOCITY²) OF ATOMS


AND... THE FASTER ATOMS COLLIDE, THE MORE THEY DISTURB OR DISLURGE ELECTRONS

SAHA EQUATION (MUCH HAD SAHA, 1926, INDIAN AMERICAN)
 PREDICTS RELATIVE NUMBER OF ATOMS IN EACH EXCITED STATE OF ELECTRON (ENERGY LEVEL), GIVEN TEMPERATURE & PRESSURE OF GAS

⇒ SPECTRAL LINE STRENGTHS (AND VICE VERSA)


JUST WHICH PHOTONS CAN BE ABSORBED DEPENDS ON WHICH ELECTRON ORBITS ARE POPULATED!

FOR HYDROGEN, VISIBLE (BALMER) SERIES OF STRONG ABSORPTION LINES IF MANY ATOMS IN EXCITED $n=2$ STATE
 ⇒ TEMP ~ 10,000 K




SAHA predicts spectral line strengths with temperature

WHAT SAHA PREDICTS:



SAHA EQUATION FOR HYDROGEN:

- FOR HOTTEST STARS (O, B), FULLY IONIZED CANNOT ABSORB PHOTONS
- FOR COOLEST STARS (M), MOSTLY AT GROUND LEVEL ($n=1$), SO ABSORPTION OF UV PHOTONS (LIMB)
- FOR A-TYPE STARS (~10,000K), MANY ATOMS ARE EXCITED $n=2$ LEVEL, STRONG BALMER (VISIBLE) ABSORPTION LINES




Puzzle Clicker: Stellar Parallax

- The biggest ground-based telescopes with adaptive optics can measure stars positions with accuracies of about **0.05 arcsec**. How far away could they map the positions of stars via parallax?


B.

- A.** 2 pc = 6.5 light years
- B.** 20 pc = 65 light years
- C.** 200 pc = 650 light years



Parallax

- B. maximum distance** is set by the **accuracy** with which you can measure positions in the sky (space does better than ground)



Distance (pc) = $1 / 0.05 \text{ arcsec} = 20 \text{ pc} = 65 \text{ ly}$

d (in parsecs) = 1 / p (in arcsec)

